IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Original Jurisdiction

Dated: Nainital: the 19th day of September, 2006
Crl. Bail Application No. 849 of 2006

Order on the Bail Application:
CRIMINAL SIDE

Pankaj S/o Yashpal
R/o 8 –Civil Line Roorkee, P.S. Kotwali Roorkee,
District Haridwar.

…….. Applicant

Versus

State of Uttaranchal …….. Opposite Party

Arising out of Crime No. 205 of 2006
Under Sections: 398, 401 of the I.P.C.
Police Station: Gangnehar, Roorkee
District: Haridwar.

Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

Heard Mr. Manish Arora, learned counsel for the applicant and Mr. Amit Bhatt, learned A.G.A. for the State.

Applicant Pankaj, who is in jail in connection with crime No. 205 of 2006, relating to offences punishable under Section 398, 401 of I.P.C., P.S. Gngnehar, Roorkee, District Haridwar, has sought his release on bail.

It is argued that similarly situated co-accused Vishal has already been enlarged on bail by the learned Sessions Judge on 11.09.2006. The applicant's bail was rejected by the Incharge Sessions Judge, before that, on 01.09.2006. There is no public witness of the incident.

Considering al the facts and circumstances, particularly, in view of the fact that similarly situated co-accused has already been enlarged on bail by the learned Sessions Judge, in the opinion of this Court, the present applicant deserves bail.

Let the applicant be released on bail on his executing a personal bond and furnishing two sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Magistrate concerned.

Dt. 19.09.2006 (Prafulla C. Pant, J.)
HN